

To: Albright, David[Albright.David@epa.gov]
From: Dermer, Michele
Sent: Thur 2/26/2015 4:30:59 PM
Subject: Fw: UIC Follow-up Qs
01.22.14 532 Wells Data Analysis for EPA.xlsx

Hi David,

Before I start messing around, this is the first table, right? The second one, is that the one from August?

From: Habel, Rob@DOC <Rob.Habel@conservation.ca.gov>
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2015 4:59 PM
To: Montgomery, Michael
Cc: Albright, David; Dermer, Michele; Bishop, Jonathan@Waterboards; Bohlen, Steven@DOC
Subject: FW: UIC Follow-up Qs

Mike:

Please find the attached Excel file where staff have summarized data in response to your questions. The information is copied below.

Summary	Count	%
% of Wells that have sub-3000 mg/L TDS	176	33%
% of Wells that have 3000-10000 mg/L TDS	279	52%
% of Wells that have no TDS data (as of 1/22/15 1:30 PM)	48	9%
% of Wells that have TDS >= 10000 mg/L	29	5%
Total	532	100%

There are 43 wells that did not report any injection.

Our database is limited for well depth information. The attached indicates a range of depths based in the Top and Bottom Perf and True Vertical Depth data. These fields in the database have a lot of blanks (no entries).

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Rob